

Difference in difference

INFO/STSCI/ILRST 3900: Causal Inference

5 Nov 2024

Reminders / Announcements

- ▶ Pset 5 due this Thursday by 11:59pm
 - ▶ Lecture slide citations
- ▶ You should have received an email this weekend with your final project group assignment and topic
- ▶ Task 3 & 4 Check-In: **Due Sunday, Nov 17th 11:59pm**
 - ▶ Details on the [course website](#)
 - ▶ Main goal: meet with your group and plan the project
 - ▶ Submit a tentative plan to Canvas
 - ▶ More details on the final paper and video coming soon

Learning goals for today

At the end of class, you will be able to:

1. Study effects of policies when there is no matching control unit but we have data from more than one time period

Card, D., & Krueger, A. B. (1994).

Minimum Wages and Employment: A Case Study of the Fast-Food Industry in New Jersey and Pennsylvania.

The American Economic Review, 84(4), 772-793.

When the minimum wage rises, how might employment change?

The setting

- ▶ Federal minimum wage
 - ▶ \$3.80 on April 1, 1990
 - ▶ \$4.25 on April 1, 1991
- ▶ New Jersey minimum wage
 - ▶ \$5.05 on April 1, 1992

NJ introduces a high minimum wage.

How would you study the effect on employment?

Source: Wikimedia



Pollev.com/causal3900



New Jersey
Minimum Wage
Rose

Pennsylvania
No change

Photo by James Loesch - <https://www.flickr.com/photos/jal33/49113053632/>
CC BY 2.0, <https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=87207834>



171 stores



80 stores



99 stores



60 stores


Phone interview: Feb-Mar 1992 before minimum wage rose
Nov-Dec 1992 after minimum wage rose


Recorded: How many full-time equivalent employees?


How did employment change?




PollEv.com/causal3900









Variable	Stores by state		
	PA	NJ	Difference,
	(i)	(ii)	NJ - PA (iii)
1. FTE employment before, all available observations	23.33 (1.35)	20.44 (0.51)	-2.89 (1.44)
2. FTE employment after, all available observations	21.17 (0.94)	21.03 (0.52)	-0.14 (1.07)
3. Change in mean FTE employment	-2.16 (1.25)	0.59 (0.54)	2.76 (1.36)

“Contrary to the central prediction of the textbook model of the minimum wage,...we find no evidence that the rise in New Jersey’s minimum wage reduced employment at fast-food restaurants in the state.”

Card & Krueger 1994, p. 792


- ▶ simple study
- ▶ well-executed
- ▶ upended conventional wisdom

Decades of papers followed suit. Here is one.

Malesky, E. J., Nguyen, C. V., & Tran, A. (2014).
The impact of recentralization on public services:
A difference-in-differences analysis of the abolition of elected
councils in Vietnam.
American Political Science Review, 108(1), 144-168.

Does government work better when it is centralized or
decentralized?

Vietnam setting: A study of recentralization




Vietnam setting: A study of recentralization

Input from social scientists

1. Enough treated units to study
2. Sampling stratified by region
3. Sampling stratified by
 - ▶ city versus rural
 - ▶ lowland versus highland
 - ▶ midland versus inter-nationally bordered land
4. Sampling stratified by socioeconomic and public administration performance

FIGURE 2. Map of Treatment Provinces and National-Level Cities



Vietnam Household Living Standards Survey
Reports by each local commune by commune leaders


- ▶ 2006 and 2008: Before DPC abolition
- ▶ 2010: After DPC abolition

One outcome we will examine:

Is there the following project in the commune?

- ▶ Investment on culture and education


Education and Cultural Program



Screenshot of re-analysis by [Egami & Yamauchi 2023](#), Fig 3

Difference in difference

When no control unit is comparable to a treated unit, we might assume a control unit and treated unit share the same trend in the potential outcome under control



Learning goals for today

At the end of class, you will be able to:

1. Study effects of policies when there is no matching control unit but we have data from more than one time period